

Amendment and Response
Applicants: William B. Dawson et al.
Serial No.: 10/668,796

Attorney Docket No.: KEY1028USC2

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
APR 18 2007

REMARKS

Claims 1 to 19 are canceled. New claims 20 to 24 are pending in this application. Support for new claims 20 to 24 can be found generally throughout the specification and specifically in the drawings. No new matter is added.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 8, 9 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by either U.S. Patent No. 3,086,327 to Samuel et al. (Samuel) or by U.S. Patent No. 4,627,764 to Scheiwiller (Scheiwiller). Claims 1, 8, 9 and 19 have been canceled rendering these rejections moot.

The pending claims have been replaced with new claims 20 to 24. These claims are directed to a landscaping block system for use in forming a landscape edging or border comprising multiple blocks. Each block has first and second opposed sides, the first side including a first substantially horizontal ledge extending between first and second ends, the second side including a second substantially horizontal ledge extending between first and second ends. The blocks are configured such that a first or second substantially horizontal ledge of a first block overlaps with a first or second substantially horizontal ledge of a second block when the first and second blocks are used to form the landscape edging or border. Applicants respectfully submit that these features are neither disclosed nor taught by Samuel or Scheiwiller or any of the other cited references.

Conclusion

In view of Applicants' amendments and remarks, claims 20 to 24 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and passage of the case to issue are respectfully requested.

Amendment and Response
Applicants: William B. Dawson et al.
Serial No.: 10/668,796

Attorney Docket No.: KEY1028USC2

If any additional fees are due in connection with the filing of this paper, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 16-2312. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 4/18/07

By

Terry L. Wiles
Customer No. 009561

Terry L. Wiles (29,989)

Patrick J. O'Connell (33,984)

POPOVICH, WILES & O'CONNELL, P.A.

650 Third Avenue South, Suite 600

Minneapolis, MN 55402-1911

Telephone: (612) 334-8989

Attorneys for Applicants